Chapter 13 — The Future of Mankind

Table of Contents

Chapter 13 — The Future of Mankind

Section 1. Exploring Possibilities and Challenges of the Future

This chapter looks beyond the Emergence Age and considers the future of mankind in the second half of this century, when the consequences of rapid technological advancement, shifting social dynamics, and global challenges may become more visible and more decisive. The future promises both unprecedented opportunities and complex dilemmas, requiring careful consideration of how we navigate progress.

One of the largest unknowns in this future is the impact of artificial intelligence. AI may become the central force that amplifies every other trend discussed in this chapter: energy demand, employment, education, governance, social stability, scientific discovery, military power, and the settlement of space. It may help mankind solve problems that now appear overwhelming, or it may accelerate inequality, dependency, confusion, and institutional stress faster than societies can adapt.

Technological innovations, from artificial intelligence to biotechnology, are poised to redefine not only economies but the very fabric of human interaction. These advancements have the potential to improve health outcomes, enhance education, and connect people across the globe. However, they also raise important questions about privacy, ethics, and equitable access.

Social structures are evolving alongside technology. As populations grow and become more diverse, societies must adapt to new norms regarding work, family, and community. Emphasis on lifelong learning and adaptability will be essential as the pace of change accelerates. Meanwhile, addressing inequalities and fostering inclusive environments remain crucial tasks for ensuring sustainable development.

Global concerns such as climate change, resource scarcity, and health crises continue to influence the trajectory of human development. Collaborative efforts across nations and sectors are vital to overcoming these challenges. The future will likely require innovative solutions, resilience, and a willingness to rethink traditional approaches.

Ultimately, the future of human development hinges on our ability to balance progress with responsibility. By fostering creativity, critical thinking, and empathy, we can help shape a world that benefits all. The choices we make today will lay the foundation for generations to come, offering both hope and a call to action as we move forward.

Section 2. The Unknown Impact of Artificial Intelligence

Artificial intelligence is the great uncertain multiplier of the Emergence Age and the centuries that follow. Unlike earlier technologies, AI does not merely extend muscle, transportation, communication, or calculation. It begins to participate in judgment, design, prediction, persuasion, administration, education, science, and war. For that reason, its effect cannot be limited to one sector of society. It may become a general-purpose intelligence infrastructure woven into nearly every human institution.

During the Emergence Age, AI is likely to transform work first. Many tasks once performed by clerks, analysts, programmers, translators, writers, customer-service representatives, designers, legal assistants, teachers, and managers may be automated or partially automated. At the same time, new work will appear around AI supervision, data stewardship, cybersecurity, robotics, human care, ethics, training, maintenance, and systems design. The central problem will not be whether AI creates or destroys jobs in the abstract; it will be whether societies can move people from declining roles into meaningful new roles without destroying dignity, income, family stability, or civic trust.

Education will also be transformed. AI tutors could give each child and adult access to patient, personalized instruction. They could translate languages, adapt lessons to learning styles, simulate laboratories, and make lifelong education cheaper and more available. Yet this promise carries danger. If children learn mainly from machines, societies must ask who controls the curriculum, what values are embedded in the systems, whether students learn judgment rather than mere answer-seeking, and whether human teachers remain moral guides, mentors, and witnesses to the formation of character.

Governance may face the most difficult test. AI can help governments forecast disease, manage energy grids, detect fraud, improve disaster response, coordinate transportation, and deliver public services. But it can also strengthen surveillance, censorship, manipulation, social scoring, automated bureaucracy, and military targeting. The danger is not only that AI may make bad decisions; it is that human beings may hide behind machine decisions and weaken accountability. A society that lets algorithms govern without human responsibility may become efficient while becoming less free.

AI will also reshape knowledge itself. Scientific discovery may accelerate as AI systems search chemical space, model proteins, design materials, diagnose disease, predict climate patterns, and assist engineering. This could help mankind address energy scarcity, food insecurity, medical limits, and environmental damage. Yet the same acceleration may produce technologies that institutions are not prepared to control. Biotechnology, autonomous weapons, cyberattack tools, financial manipulation, and deepfake propaganda may all become more powerful when combined with AI.

Beyond the Emergence Age, AI may become essential to human expansion beyond Earth. Lunar and Martian settlements will require constant monitoring of air, water, power, food systems, radiation, machinery, health, and logistics. AI robots may perform most construction, mining, agriculture, inspection, and repair. In that setting, AI will not be a convenience; it will be part of the life-support structure. The question will be whether mankind can build AI systems reliable enough to support life, yet limited enough that human beings remain responsible for the purposes of civilization.

The deepest impact of AI may be spiritual and philosophical. If machines can speak, reason, compose, design, diagnose, persuade, and appear to understand, mankind will be forced to ask what is uniquely human. Intelligence alone may no longer be enough to define man. The human future may depend more on conscience, love, responsibility, wisdom, creativity, embodiment, mortality, and the capacity to seek meaning. The danger is that human beings may become passive consumers of machine-generated reality. The opportunity is that AI may free people for higher forms of thought, service, art, faith, and stewardship—if societies choose that direction deliberately.

Thus, AI is not simply another invention inside the future. It is one of the main forces that will determine what the future becomes. It may help mankind survive the pressures of the second half of this century, or it may magnify those pressures beyond the capacity of existing institutions. The decisive issue will not be artificial intelligence alone, but whether human wisdom, law, culture, and moral responsibility can grow fast enough to govern it.

Part B. The Future of Man

Section 2. Man Leaves Planet Earth

Chapter 1 argued that man is entering the Emergence Age under conditions of mounting societal strain. As complexity accelerates, the risks of institutional breakdown, economic insecurity, environmental stress, political fragmentation, and information disorder also increase. Under such conditions, leaving Earth can no longer be imagined only as a scientific or technological project. It also becomes a social and moral question. If large numbers of poor and middle-class people come to see life on Earth as increasingly unstable, then the Moon and Mars may begin to appear not merely as frontiers of exploration, but as possible destinations of escape, migration, and survival. In that case, the first movement beyond Earth would reflect more than human curiosity. It would reveal the unequal pressures of a civilization in crisis. A species capable of building pathways off its home world must therefore confront a difficult question: who gets to leave, and on what moral basis, when the desire to leave is shaped not only by hope, but by the fear of being left behind?

Several motives converge here. Scientific curiosity pushes outward. Economic and technological incentives follow. But the deepest reason may be resilience. A civilization confined to one planet remains vulnerable to planetary-scale risks, whether natural or self-inflicted. Leaving Earth does not solve those risks automatically, but it reduces the fragility of a single-world civilization. For that reason, many current exploration plans frame the Moon as a proving ground for Mars, with long-duration habitation, surface power, logistics, and closed-loop life support treated as capabilities that must be learned step by step rather than imagined in the abstract. [NASA]()'s current Moon-to-Mars architecture explicitly describes the Moon as the pathfinder environment for the first human missions to Mars and the journey beyond.

The question of who leaves Earth is more complicated than the image of a few astronauts or wealthy passengers. If the societal crisis described earlier in this book deepens—through economic instability, institutional breakdown, environmental stress, political fragmentation, and the erosion of public trust—then many people in the poor and middle classes will understandably see the Moon or Mars not as luxury destinations, but as possible exits from a declining social order. They may want to leave for the same reasons people have always migrated: to secure safety, work, dignity, and a future for their children. Yet desire and access will not be the same. In the early phases, those who actually leave will almost certainly be a narrow combination of highly trained specialists, state-sponsored crews, and individuals backed by major institutions or great wealth. This creates a dangerous tension: the social conditions that generate the strongest desire to migrate may affect millions, while the technical and economic realities of off-world settlement may initially permit only a tiny few to go.

Yet space does not welcome us. Radiation, vacuum, extreme temperature variation, delayed communication, psychological isolation, and the long-term challenge of producing air, water, food, and spare parts away from Earth make human expansion into space one of the hardest projects our species has ever attempted. To leave Earth therefore requires more than rockets. It requires a new level of systems thinking: habitats that recycle, infrastructures that repair themselves, institutions that can govern far from Earth, and a human being capable of carrying responsibility into environments where failure is unforgiving. The future of man in space will depend not only on propulsion, but on whether wisdom matures as fast as power.

Section 3. Man on the Moon and Mars

The Moon and Mars represent two different kinds of frontier. The Moon is near enough to support repeated missions, rapid resupply, and relatively short communication delays. It is therefore the practical training ground for learning how to live off Earth: how to build shelters, manage dust, extract local resources, maintain surface power systems, and operate with partial gravity over long periods. Mars, by contrast, is the first destination where human beings would have to live with true operational independence. Communication delays can stretch from minutes to tens of minutes each way, launch windows are infrequent, and rescue is not immediate. To live on Mars is to accept that a settlement must become more self-sustaining than anything humanity has yet built beyond Earth. [NASA]()'s architecture and related planning documents treat lunar operations as preparation for the larger challenge of human Mars missions.

Successful habitation on either world will depend on infrastructure more than heroism. Habitats must control temperature, pressure, and radiation exposure. Water must be recycled with extreme efficiency. Air must be regenerated. Food systems must become progressively more closed-loop. Local materials will likely have to be used for shielding, construction, fuel production, and industrial feedstocks. On Mars in particular, in-situ resource utilization is not a luxury but a requirement: transporting everything from Earth would make long-term settlement too fragile and too expensive. Current planning for human Mars exploration therefore centers on life support reliability, radiation monitoring and mitigation, local resource use, and human-robot coordination as core prerequisites rather than optional enhancements.

The question of who will inhabit the Moon and Mars cannot be answered only by engineering. It is also a question of justice. In the early phases, off-world settlements will almost certainly be limited to highly trained specialists supported by governments and large institutions. Yet over time, the moral issue will become unavoidable: will space become a refuge for concentrated wealth and power, or a shared human project ordered toward resilience, knowledge, and the common good? If humanity carries its worst inequalities outward, then settlement beyond Earth may reproduce the same divisions that destabilized life on this planet. The future of man on the Moon and Mars will therefore depend not only on who can go, but on what kind of civilization decides who goes, why they go, and for whom the new worlds are built.

For that reason, the significance of the Moon and Mars is larger than geography. They are experiments in whether human beings can build stable worlds under severe constraint. A lunar base would test endurance, logistics, and industrial adaptation outside Earth's biosphere. A Martian settlement would test whether a civilization can transport not only machines, but law, memory, education, cooperation, and meaning into a hostile environment and keep them coherent across generations. If these projects succeed, they will not simply mark the spread of human presence. They will mark the beginning of humanity learning to become a multiplanetary species.

Section 4. Man Inhabiting the Milky Way Galaxy

To imagine man inhabiting the Milky Way is to move from the near future to the deep future. Within the solar system, travel times are measured in days, months, or years. Between stars, they are measured in decades, centuries, or far longer under any technology we currently understand. The nearest star system lies more than four light-years away, and the Milky Way spans roughly 100,000 light-years. That scale changes the meaning of exploration. It implies that the inhabitation of the galaxy—if it ever occurs—will almost certainly unfold gradually, through successive waves of settlement, automation, and adaptation rather than through a single dramatic leap.

If such expansion becomes possible, it will require breakthroughs in propulsion, shielding, autonomous manufacturing, and closed-loop ecology that go far beyond our current capacities. Some proposals imagine beam-driven sails for tiny probes; others consider nuclear or fusion-based propulsion for larger craft. But even optimistic concepts confront unyielding constraints: the speed of light, the enormous energy cost of accelerating mass, the hazard of dust impacts at relativistic speeds, and the biological challenge of sustaining life over journeys that could outlast a single human lifetime. Under such conditions, the likely forms of galactic inhabitation may include long-duration generation ships, suspended-biological strategies if they become feasible, robotic precursor systems, or distributed settlements that expand very slowly over immense timescales.

Even so, the idea matters now because it forces a redefinition of what man is. If humanity ever inhabits the Milky Way, it will do so not as a species escaping nature, but as one expression of nature carrying life, memory, and intelligence into new regions of the cosmos. The same universe that formed atoms, stars, planets, and minds may eventually produce civilizations capable of crossing interstellar distances and founding new centers of experience beneath other suns. Whether that future arrives in centuries, millennia, or not at all, it clarifies the present task: to become a form of intelligence worthy of the power it is learning to wield. The future of man is therefore not merely outward expansion. It is the maturation of consciousness equal to the scale of the universe it now begins to enter.

Section 5. Serious Regional and Periodic Energy Crises Plausible.

A single, worldwide "energy crisis" is **not guaranteed** over the next 25 years, but **serious regional and periodic energy crises are very plausible**—especially if investment, grids, supply chains, and geopolitics do not keep pace with demand.

The best way to frame it is: **the world is not projected to simply "run out" of energy, but it is projected to face growing energy-security stress.** The International Energy Agency says current outlooks involve "growing energy security risks" across an unusually wide range of fuels and technologies, including oil, gas, electricity grids, infrastructure, critical minerals, technology supply chains, data centers, and AI. [iea.org], [iea.blob.c…indows.net]

Several pressures make crises possible:

- **Electricity demand is rising fast.** The world is entering what the International Energy Agency calls the "Age of Electricity," with power demand growing faster than total energy demand. This increases pressure on grids, storage, backup generation, and transmission systems. [iea.org], [iifiir.org]
- **Grids and storage may lag behind generation.** Even if solar, wind, nuclear, gas, and batteries expand, bottlenecks in transmission, permitting, storage, and

reliability can still create shortages or price spikes. World Energy Outlook 2024 specifically highlights electricity demand growth and the need for secure energy systems. [iea.org], [pveurope.eu]

- **Geopolitical disruption remains a major risk.** Conflicts, sanctions, attacks on infrastructure, shipping chokepoints, and cyberattacks can disrupt energy flows even when global supply is adequate. World Energy Outlook 2025 was released amid "acute geopolitical strains" and emphasizes energy security as a central concern. [iea.org], [safety4sea.com]
- **Critical minerals are a new vulnerability.** Batteries, EVs, solar, wind, grids, and advanced electronics depend on minerals whose refining and processing are highly concentrated. The World Energy Outlook 2025 notes that a single country leads refining for 19 of 20 energy-related strategic minerals, creating supply-chain risk. [iea.blob.c…indows.net]
- **Climate stress will strain energy systems.** Heat waves increase cooling demand, drought can affect hydropower, storms and fires can damage infrastructure, and extreme weather can raise the probability of blackouts. World Energy Outlook 2024 highlights growing risks to energy infrastructure from extreme weather. [iea.blob.c…indows.net]

So the likely future is **not one uniform global collapse**, but a pattern of **localized shortages, price shocks, reliability problems, and political stress**. Poorer countries and fast-growing regions are most vulnerable because demand is rising while investment and infrastructure may lag. The World Energy Outlook 2025 projects continued energy-demand growth to 2050 in major scenarios, driven especially by emerging markets such as India and Southeast Asia. [iifiir.org]

Section 6. Long-Term Energy Crisis is Avoidable

The good news is that a severe long-term crisis is **avoidable**. Clean energy investment is rising, renewables are expanding quickly, and low-emissions electricity could supply a growing share of future demand. But avoiding crisis requires **massive grid expansion, storage, diversified mineral supply chains, resilient infrastructure, nuclear or other firm low-carbon power where appropriate, efficiency, and stable policy**.

Bottom line: Over the next 25 years, an energy crisis is **possible and in some regions likely**, but it is not destiny. The deciding factor will be whether societies build the energy system fast enough and resiliently enough to support electrification, climate stress, geopolitical instability, and rising global demand.

Section 7. Immigration to the Moon and Mars

As Earth becomes more complex—through climate stress, political instability, economic inequality, AI disruption, migration pressure, and energy insecurity—it is reasonable to expect that **many people will psychologically imagine the Moon or Mars as an escape route**. The desire could become culturally powerful, especially if off-world living is portrayed as safer, more orderly, or more meaningful than life on Earth.

But in practical terms, during the next 25 years, the number of people who can actually immigrate to the Moon or Mars will almost certainly remain **very small**. The early population will likely consist of:

- highly trained astronauts and engineers,
- scientists and medical specialists,
- construction and systems-maintenance crews,
- government-backed mission personnel,
- and possibly a small number of privately funded participants.

The main constraints are severe: launch cost, radiation exposure, low gravity health effects, closed-loop life support, food production, medical care, governance, psychological isolation, surface power, and the difficulty of resupply. Even if lunar bases and Mars missions advance significantly, they are more likely to look like **Antarctic research stations, military/scientific outposts, or early industrial camps** than mass immigration destinations.

So the key distinction is: **Many may want to leave; very few will be able to leave.**

That creates an important moral and political issue. Migration to the Moon and Mars may begin not as open migration, but as **selective evacuation or elite access**. If Earth's complexity produces fear among the poor and middle classes, while only governments, corporations, specialists, and the wealthy can reach the Moon or Mars, then space settlement could become a symbol of inequality as much as hope.

During the next twenty-five years, the pressure to leave Earth may grow faster than the capacity to do so, creating a painful divide between those who dream of escape and those few whom technology, wealth, or institutions permit to depart.

In short, the next 25 years may produce **mass interest in off-world migration**, but not **mass migration itself**. The Moon and Mars are likely to become powerful symbols of survival, destiny, and inequality before they become realistic homes for large populations.

Section 8. Needs on the Moon.

Yes—if an elite group settles on the Moon, they would need far more than rockets and habitat modules. They would need a **complete service civilization**, though in its earliest form it would be compact, technical, and highly controlled.

The elite settlers' needs would fall into several layers:

1. Survival and Infrastructure

The first need would be **life support**: air, water, temperature control, radiation shielding, waste recycling, and emergency systems. This means they would require:

- habitat engineers
- power-system technicians
- life-support specialists
- medical staff
- robotics operators
- maintenance crews
- emergency-response teams

On the Moon, ordinary comfort depends on invisible technical systems. A wealthy person cannot simply "buy" comfort unless someone maintains pressure seals, oxygen systems, water recycling, solar power arrays, batteries, communications, and radiation shelters.

So yes, there would absolutely be **construction people**—but they would not be ordinary construction workers at first. They would be highly trained space construction technicians, robotics supervisors, materials specialists, and habitat maintenance crews. Much construction would probably involve robots, 3D printing, prefabricated modules, and lunar regolith shielding.

2. Domestic and Food Services

Food would be one of the most difficult needs. Early lunar elites would not enjoy full Earth-style abundance unless enormous logistics systems supported them. They would need:

- hydroponic and aeroponic farming specialists
- nutritionists
- cooks and food-preparation staff

- water-recycling operators
- food-storage technicians
- supply-chain managers

At first, much food would be prepackaged or imported from Earth. Over time, controlled-environment agriculture would become essential. Food services would likely become a mark of status: ordinary workers might eat standardized meals, while elites might demand fresh greens, specialty foods, private dining, coffee, wine substitutes, or luxury imports from Earth.

This creates an important social tension: **who gets fresh food, variety, and comfort when every kilogram launched from Earth is expensive?**

3. Health, Medicine, and Human Care

Lunar elites would need constant medical oversight because low gravity, radiation, isolation, and confined living can affect the body and mind. They would need:

- physicians
- nurses
- emergency surgeons or surgical robots
- pharmacists
- mental-health professionals
- exercise physiologists
- radiation-monitoring specialists
- elder-care or longevity specialists

If elites are older, wealthy, or seeking refuge from Earth's disorder, medical services may be one of the strongest drivers of lunar settlement design. A Moon settlement for elites would likely include private medical suites, diagnostic equipment, telemedicine links to Earth, and preventive health programs.

4. Education and Family Services

If elite settlers bring families, then education becomes essential. They would need:

- teachers
- tutors

- childcare workers
- curriculum designers
- virtual learning systems
- cultural and moral education
- psychological support for children raised off Earth

Education would not only teach math and science. It would teach children how to live in a fragile environment: air discipline, emergency drills, habitat etiquette, cooperation, and respect for systems on which everyone depends.

A lunar elite may want private schools or academy-style education, combining Earth-based remote instruction with local teachers. Over time, this could produce a distinct "lunar class" whose children grow up with different assumptions from Earth-born humanity.

5. Governance, Security, and Legal Order

A Moon settlement cannot function as a casual resort. It would require strict rules because small failures can kill everyone. Elites would need:

- administrators
- legal officers
- contract managers
- security personnel
- conflict mediators
- communications authorities
- emergency governance systems

Security would be especially sensitive. On Earth, inequality can be managed through distance and property. On the Moon, workers and elites share the same air, water, pressure systems, and escape limitations. Social resentment could become dangerous if workers feel trapped, exploited, or denied the comforts they provide for others.

This means the elite would need not only guards, but legitimacy. A settlement that feels like a luxury bunker served by disposable labor would be unstable.

6. Culture, Religion, Entertainment, and Meaning

Once survival is secured, human beings need meaning. The elite would want more than oxygen and food. They would want:

- artists
- musicians
- writers
- chaplains or spiritual leaders
- counselors
- recreation managers
- event planners
- historians and archivists
- designers of gardens, gathering spaces, and virtual environments

The Moon would be psychologically barren without culture. Earthrise views, ceremonial spaces, music, literature, religious observance, and shared rituals would help settlers feel that they are building a civilization rather than merely occupying a machine.

7. The Worker Class Behind Elite Comfort

The most important point is that elite settlement would still create a dependency paradox, even if AI robots performed most of the physical work. The elite may pay for the settlement, and machines may build, clean, carry, farm, cook, inspect, and repair much of it, but the service civilization would still need human judgment to keep the system trustworthy, humane, and accountable.

In such a settlement, the service industry would shift from direct labor to supervision, exception handling, repair, design, care, and governance. AI robots could do most construction, food preparation, cleaning, waste handling, inventory movement, farming, and routine medical monitoring. Humans would still be needed to set priorities, resolve failures, interpret ambiguous situations, maintain trust, make ethical decisions, and respond when automated systems behave in unexpected ways.

The lunar service industry would therefore need fewer ordinary laborers and more hybrid specialists: robot supervisors, AI auditors, habitat technicians, systems integrators, food-system designers, medical technologists, educators, caregivers, counselors, security coordinators, and emergency leaders. A cook might become a nutrition-and-culture designer who supervises automated kitchens and hydroponic systems. A teacher might work with AI tutors while preserving human judgment, moral formation, and community memory. A cleaner or waste technician might become a life-support integrity inspector. A builder might become a robotics construction manager, materials specialist, and emergency repair operator.

The greatest human need in an AI-robotic service economy would be oversight of the boundary between convenience and survival. On Earth, a failed robot may be an inconvenience; on the Moon, it may threaten air, water, power, food, medicine, or pressure integrity. For that reason, every service function would also be a safety function. The settlement would need people who understand both machines and human needs, and who can decide when efficiency must give way to caution, dignity, fairness, or compassion.

Section 8. The Social Structure That Could Emerge

A realistic lunar elite settlement might develop three classes:

1. **The ownership class** — wealthy settlers, investors, political figures, and institutional leaders.
2. **The professional class** — doctors, engineers, scientists, teachers, administrators, and systems managers.
3. **The service and maintenance class** — builders, food workers, cleaners, technicians, agricultural workers, security staff, and logistics crews.

The danger is that the third class may do the hardest and most dangerous work while receiving the least freedom. If they cannot easily return to Earth, change jobs, own property, or participate in governance, then the Moon could become a new form of company town—highly advanced technologically, but morally primitive.

Bottom Line

Elite lunar settlement would not be self-sufficient luxury. It would require a hidden army of skilled workers and service providers. The elite would need construction, food, medicine, education, security, entertainment, law, religion, waste management, and constant technical maintenance.

The great question is whether those workers would be treated as **citizens of a new world** or merely as **support staff for the wealthy**. That moral choice may determine whether the Moon becomes a human civilization—or only an off-world fortress for privilege.

Section 9. Biosphere Degradation and the Belief That Man May Need to Leave Earth

There is substantial evidence that degradation of Earth's biosphere could force large numbers of people to move from one region of the planet to another. Climate change, biodiversity loss, water scarcity, soil depletion, sea-level rise, extreme heat, pollution, and ecosystem collapse can all make certain places harder to inhabit. These pressures do not mean that mankind must immediately leave Earth in large numbers, but they do strengthen the belief that humanity may eventually need an off-world future as a form of civilizational insurance.

The strongest evidence points first toward migration within Earth itself. As regions become hotter, drier, more flood-prone, less fertile, or less secure, people will seek safer ground, better water, food stability, employment, and political order. In that sense, biosphere degradation is likely to produce large-scale terrestrial migration before it produces large-scale space migration. The Moon and Mars may become powerful symbols of escape, but they are not yet practical destinations for the masses.

The reason is simple: even a damaged Earth remains vastly more habitable than the Moon or Mars. Earth still provides breathable air, liquid water, gravity, soils, oceans, weather systems, and a living biosphere. The Moon offers vacuum, radiation, extreme temperature swings, and no natural ecosystem. Mars offers a thin atmosphere, intense cold, radiation exposure, toxic dust, and no open biosphere. Therefore, leaving Earth would not be an ordinary migration from one homeland to another; it would be a migration into engineered life-support systems.

Still, belief matters. If the degradation of the biosphere continues, many people may come to feel that Earth is no longer a reliable home. This feeling may be strongest among those facing heat, drought, crop failure, flooding, unemployment, conflict, and institutional collapse. At the same time, wealthy individuals, governments, corporations, and scientific institutions may see lunar or Martian settlement as a hedge against planetary instability. In this way, environmental fear could accelerate the political and financial will to build off-world settlements, even before such settlements can receive large populations.

A realistic sequence would begin with worsening environmental stress on Earth, followed by increasing migration between regions and nations. Political conflict would likely grow over land, water, food, borders, housing, and infrastructure. Off-world settlement would then appear not as an immediate solution for the majority, but as a symbolic and strategic project pursued by states, institutions, and elites. Only much later, if launch costs fall dramatically and closed-loop habitats become reliable, could larger off-world populations become plausible.

Thus, the degradation of Earth's biosphere gives moral and emotional force to the idea of leaving Earth, but it does not yet make mass departure practical. The evidence points first toward mass displacement within Earth itself. Space settlement may arise not because the Moon or Mars are easier places to live, but because a frightened civilization begins to seek insurance against the failure of the only biosphere it has ever known.